

College of Commerce, Science and Information Technology, Pimpri

Teacher Feedback

ASM'S collage of commerce, science & Information Technology, Pimpri, pune.

Seneral information about the Name of the teac	ets for individual teachers e student, making the evaluation of the teacher. her evaluated: MTS. Valshall mam Faculty: SPM:	
N.B. PUT TICK MARK () IN 1	HE :_ for every question/Observation/Opinion.	
Whether the student is direct	student of the teacher? rost No []	
It Yes, then for how many yea	m, 10 3/12 10 40 50	
Student Copinion about 15.5		=
Class Control		23
Interaction with students	Bust Good D Average / Poor . ;	
Use of teaching aids	Best Good P Average Poor C	
Knowledge sharing	Bres Good Average Poor	
Voice	Harsh C. Loud C.: sufficient 12 Love 12	
Language	Thomas Average	[]
Efforts for the improvement	of below average students	
	Excellent M Satisfactory t! Not Satisfact	oty :
Teaching methodology:	Lecture Practical Examples Notes only	5
والعالقين والمعالمة	enly subject matter only examination subjects	
	With relatest usiness	
Student's overall opinion ab	out the techer in respect of :	
Relation with students	Good [] Cousual Average [] Poor []	
Penchality 1	Ponetual [] Montanetual []	1.
Nature:	Helping & Casual II Accommodation 1	
Co-currighte sollyitius:	Gest 🗗 Gerd 🖟 Average 🖟 🎁 🖜	
	Wishes to express about the teaher (In brief)	

Scanned by CamScanner



General information about the	is for individual teachers student, making the evaluation of the teacher.	ment.
	tudent of the teacher?	39
Class Control Interaction with students Use of teaching aids Knowledge sharing Voice	Best L Good Average Poor L Brist Good Average Poor L Brist Good Average Poor L Brist Good Average D Poor D Harsh Li Loud L: sufficient D Love D	.\
Teaching methodology:	Excellent Satisfactory L. Not Satisfactory L. Lecture Practical Examples Notes only Conty Subject matter only examinat the sacretary with relative source.	7
Student's overall opinion about the lattern with students from the lattern to the	Good Cousual Average Root Cousual Cousual Average Root Cousual Cous	11

Scanned by CamScanner



Principal

College of Commerce, Science & Information Technology
S. No. 29/1+2A, Pune-Mumbai Highway
Pimpri, Pune - 411 018.


,500

ĺ

ASM'S Collag	y Student)	1 5	(For Senior Colle	ge only)
Use seperate sh General information about t Name of the tea Department: BBA-	he student, ma cher evaluated	aking the evalu	iation of the teach	vm	114
N.B. PUT TICK MARK (🗸) IN	THE of for eve	ry question/Ob	scrvation/Opinion.		
Whether the student is direct	t student of the	teacher?	Yesi No	V	
It Yes, then for how many ye	ars ? 1. Í] 2] 3			į.
Student's opinion about the I Class Control			Average []	Poor 🛬	
Interaction with students	Best 🔍	Good :	Average 🔽	Poor 🗔	
Use of teaching aids	Best □	Good	Average 💟	Poor 🗀	,
Knowledge sharing	Best L	Good 🗔	Average	Poor	
Voice	Harsh 🗹	Loud []	sufficient !!	Low ;	
Länguage	Fluent []	Goo	dli Averaç	je _ Foo	· Y
Efforts for the improvement	of below avera	ge students :			
	Excellent	Sati	sfactory [1]	Not Satisfacto	ry V
Teaching methodology:	Lecture 🗌	Practical []	Examples []	Notes only	-
Syallabus Coverage	only subjec	i matter [/	only examina	tion oriented !	
	With applic	ation 🔾	_With related	ssues i	
Student's overall opinion abo	out the techer i	n respect of :	:		
Relation with students	Good 🗀	Causual	Average	Poor /	
Pure tuality:	funding v		Non-Fra		
Nature :	Holping .	Campual (Accommoda	tive	
Co-curriculate delivities	Best 1	· Good L	Average !!	Pour V	
Orbet oplitte av 112 staden 1733 f	wishes to exp	ress about the	teaher (In brief)		
					·•°
			•		
		hilling	W. Carlotte	and and a second	
			A Hym	是 第一个 ,我就是这个一个	
d by CamScanner				M	
	Science & Inform		Pri	ncipal	
	C.S.I.T.	.\		ege of Commer	an Coins

eneral information about th	the student, making the evaluation of the teacher. Sher evaluated Vois hall.
.B. PUT TICK MARK (🗸) IN T	THE L for every question/Observation/Opinion.
hether the student is direct	ars / 1 🖸 2 🗎 3 🗍 4 🗍 5 🗍
turtent's opinion about 1505 dass Control	Sest P Good Average ! Pour D
nteraction with students	Birst Good Average : Poor :
Ise of teaching aids	Best C Good P Average Poor C
nrevledge sharing	Bros Good ! Average D Poor D
oice.	Harsh Ci Loud is sufficient D Low D
anguage	Frank [] Good [] Average [] - Co []
Horts for the improvement	of below average students Excellent Satisfactory : Not Satisfactory :
Teaching methodology:	Lecture Practical Examples Notes only
Gyallabus Coverage	only subject matter 🗸 — only examination suscetted — 🗸
	With application (With related same)
Student's overall opinion abo	out the techer in respect of
Relation with students	Good B Cousual D Average D Poor D
irenctuality t	Paratual A Mon-Paratual A
Nature:	Helping [] Casual H Accommission []
Co-curriculate and vittes :	Best Seri Average for the foot

Scanned by CamScanner



ASM'S Collage of commerce, science & Information Technology, Pimpri, pune. Teacher's Evaluation Sheet (By Student) Use seperate sheets for individual teachers. General information about the student, making the evaluation of the teacher. N.B. PUT TICK MARK () IN THE ! | for every question/Observation/Opinion. Yes P No [] Whether the student is direct student of the teacher? It Yes, then for how many years / 1 0 2 1 1 4 0 5 0 Stations Supinion whose the state of the state of Bust D Good & Average ! Pour E Class Centrol Good Average is: [] Peer . ; Interaction with students Best L Good & Average L Poor : Use of teaching aids Brigi Good V Average D Poor D Knowledge sharing sufficient & Low D Harsh Li Loud i.: Voice Goot Pr Average 1 i tement 🞵 Lenguage Efforts for the improvement of below average students Satisfactory & Not Satisfactory :: Lecture Practical Examples Notes only Teaching methodology: enly subject matter? only examinat an accordat Gyallabus Coverage With related sales With application ... Student's overall opinion about the techer in respect of Good Cousual Average Relate o with students. Punctual PI Montanzeual [irenctuality: Helping & Casual A Accommodation 1 Nature ... Best Average [

Scanned by CamScanner



shes to express about the teaher (In brief)

science & Information Technology,

ASKIS Collage of commerce iscience & information resulted by
Teacher's Evaluation Sheet (By Student) (For Senior College only)
Use seperate sheets for individual teachers. General information about the student, making the evaluation of the teacher. Name of the teacher evaluated TKS JUSHULL MULLAM. Department: OMPWES SCIEN(E)FULL Software project management.
N.B. PUT TICK MARK (IN THE 1) for every question/Observation/Opinion.
Whether the student is direct student of the teacher? It Yes, then for how many years? 1 2 4 5 5
Class Control Sust D Good D Average : Pour D
Interaction with students dest Wood Average Poor .;
Use of teaching aids Best L Good Average L Poor L
Knowledge sharing Brist - Good - Average - Poor -
Voice Harsh Ci Loud C: sufficient & Low D
Canguage Count 🖳 Good 🗋 Average 🗍 🕒 🕟
Excellent Satisfactory! Not Satisfactory ::
Teaching methodology: Lecture (1) Practical (1) Examples (1) Notes only (1)
Gyallabus Coverage only subject matter only examination and accounts.
With related Autority
Student's overall opinion about the techer in respect of
Relation with students Good 🔲 Cousual 🗹 Average 🗍 Poor 🗍
iranctuality: Parctual 12 Non-Parctual 13
Noture: Helping P Casual I Accommodation I
Co-currie (Callyttes: Best) Seed (Average) Post (
not at mile (liter twistles to express about the teaher (In brief)

Scanned by CamScanner



ASM'S Collage of commerce, science & Information Technology,

Pimpri, pune. Teacher's Evaluation Sheet (By Student) (For Senior College only)	
Ouse seperate sheets for individual teachers: General information about the student, making the evaluation of the teacher. Name of the teacher evaluated Department: Faculty Faculty Mrs. Vuis hald	
N.B. PUT TICK MARK () IN THE for every question/Observation/Opinion.	
Whether the student is direct student of the teacher? 1 Yes, then for how many years? 1	
Class Control Best D Good Average Pour D	
Interaction with students dissi discri D Average Poor .;	11
Use of teaching aids Best Good Freezage Poor L	
Knowledge sharing Bost Good Waverage Poor C	
Voice Harsh Li Loud L: sufficient E Love D	
Language (Langua) Court Average	
Excellent LJ Satisfactory 1.7 Not Satisfactory 1.7	
Teaching methodology: Lecture (Practical Examples Notes only	
Syallabus Coverage only subject matter in only examination substitute.	
With relatest laction	
Student's overall opinion about the techer in respect of	
Relation with students Good Cousant Average Relation	
iranctuality: Runctual [] Mon-Runctual []	
Nature: Helping [] Casual [] Accommission []	
Co-spring Polyities: Gest & Good Average Post	
(Ardanbi/)shes to express about the teaher (In briel)	

Scanned by CamScanner....



ASM'S Collage of commerce science & Information Technology,

Teacher's Evaluation Sheet (By	Student) (For Senior College anly)
Use seperate she	ets for individual teachers
	the student, making the evaluation of the teacher.
Department : M.Sc. CC	ther evaluated faculty
N.B. PUT TICK MARK () IN	THE ! for every question/Observation/Opinion.
. Whether the student is direct	Π_{c}
It Yes, then for how many yes	ns, 10 212 30 40 50
State out supposing about the s	- Pout
Class Control	Hest Lond L Average
Interaction with students	Bisir Coun T
Use of leaching aids	Best Good L
Knowledge sharing	Bros Good ! Average Poor
Voice	Harsh Ci Loud C: sufficient & Low D
Caudaya	Count Average Cont (
Efforts for the improvement	Excellent W Satisfactory
Teaching methodology:	Lecture [] Practical [] Examples Notes only
Grania Consists	enly subject matter in early examination substituted
What a same of a same	With related assures
Student's overall opinion ab	out the techer in respect of
Relation with students	Good & Cousual Average Average
(renctuality);	Punctual D Non-Punctual D
Nature:	Helping & Casual Accommodation 1
Co-surger all plyines:	Gest D Seed D Average D 1008 D
	Shes to express about the teaher (In brief)

Scanned by CamScanner



Teacher's Evaluation Sheet (2017-18)

Faculty Name: Prof Priya Janjalkar

Total Score = 3255

Total feedback Forms= 75

Average Score = Total Score / Total Feedback Forms

3255/75=43.4

Score In Percentage= 90.41 %



ASM's CSIT Collage, Pimpri Teacher's Evaluation Sheet(2017-18)

Faculty Name: Prof Rajshri Thete

Total Score = 2849

Total feedback Forms= 70

Average Score = Total Score / Total Feedback Forms

2849/70=40.7

Score In Percentage =84.79%



Teacher's Evaluation Sheet (2017-18)

Faculty Name: Prof Poonam Hinge

Total Score = 3284

Total feedback Forms= 74

Average Score = Total Score / Total Feedback Forms

3284/74=44.37

Score In Percentage=91.44 %



Teacher's Evaluation Sheet (2017-18)

Faculty Name: Prof Meenakshi Jadhav

Total Score = 4358

Total feedback Forms= 105

Average Score =41.50

Score In Percentage= 86.46 %



/PM

ASM's CSIT Collage, Pimpri

Teacher's Evaluation Sheet(2017-18)


Faculty Name: Prof Vaishali Bodade

Total Score = 2938

Total feedback Forms= 70

Average Score = 41.97

Score In Percentage= 87.44 %



5/20

PA

ASM's CSIT Collage, Pimpri

Teacher's Evaluation Sheet(2017-18)

Faculty Name: Prof Harshsli Kholamkar

Total Score = 3748

Total feedback Forms= 93

Average Score = 3748/93=40.30

Score In Percentage= 83.96 %



PM

ASM's CSIT Collage, Pimpri

Teacher's Evaluation Sheet (2017-18)


Faculty Name: Prof Shakuntala Vibhute

Total Score = 4792

Total feedback Forms= 105

Average Score = =45.63

Score In Percentage= 95.07 %



PM

ASM's CSIT Collage, Pimpri

Teacher's Evaluation Sheet (2017-18)


Faculty Name: Prof kirti Bora

Total Score = 2954

Total feedback Forms = 69

Average Score =42.81

Score In Percentage= 89.19 %



Teacher's Evaluation Sheet (2017-18)

Faculty Name: Prof Shubhangi Shinde

Total Score = 3548

Total feedback Forms= 79

Average Score = 44.91

Score In Percentage= 93.56 %



Teacher's Evaluation Sheet (2017-18)

Faculty Name: Prof Ujwala Falak

Total Score = 4685

Total feedback Forms= 105

Average Score =44.61

Score In Percentage= 93.56 %



67 PM

ASM's CSIT Collage, Pimpri

Teacher's Evaluation Sheet(2017-18)

Faculty Name: Prof Sarika Shinde

Total Score = 3892

Total feedback Forms = 96

Average Score =40.54

Score In Percentage= 84.46 %



S7 PM

ASM's CSIT Collage, Pimpri

Teacher's Evaluation Sheet (2017-18)

Faculty Name: Prof Ashwini Shiwane

Total Score = 3549

Total feedback Forms = 81

Average Score =43.81

Score In Percentage= 91.28 %





Teacher's Evaluation Sheet (2017-18)

Faculty Name: Prof Jyoti Tope

Total Score = 2846

Total feedback Forms = 63

Average Score =45.17

Score In Percentage= 94.11 %



ASM's CSIT Collage, Pimpri Teacher's Evaluation Sheet (2017-18)

Faculty Name: Prof. Gopala K

Total Score = 4184

Total feedback Forms= 101

Average Score =41.42

Score In Percentage= 86.30 %



Teacher's Evaluation Sheet (2017-18)

Faculty Name: Prof Pallavi Singham

Total Score = 3294

Total feedback Forms = 75

Average Score = 43.92

Score In Percentage= 91.5 %



Teacher's Evaluation Sheet (2017-18)

Faculty Name: Prof

Total Score = 4168

Total feedback Forms= 96

Average Score = 43.60

Score In Percentage= 90.84 %



Teacher's Evaluation Sheet (2017-18)

Faculty Name: Prof Rashmi Rathi

Total Score = 2487

Total feedback Forms= 58

Average Score =42.87

Score In Percentage= 87.81%



ASM's CSIT Collage, Pimpri

Teacher's Evaluation Sheet (2017-18)

Faculty Name: Prof

Total Score = 3849

Total feedback Forms= 86

Average Score = 44.75

Score In Percentage=93.24 %

ASM's CSIT Collage, Pimpri

Teacher's Evaluation Sheet (2017-18)

Faculty Name: Prof

Total Score = 3525

Total feedback Forms= 79



reacher's evalution sheet 2017-18 - Google Docs

Average Score =44.62

Score In Percentage= 92.95 %

ASM's CSIT Collage, Pimpri

Teacher's Evaluation Sheet (2017-18)

Faculty Name: Prof

Total Score = 3286

Total feedback Forms= 82

Average Score =42.12

Score In Percentage= 87.76 %



Principal

College of Commerce, Science & Information Technology S. No. 29/1+2A, Pune-Mumbai Highway, Pimpri, Pune - 411 013.



College of Commerce, Science and Information Technology, Pimpri.

Action Taken Report

ASM's

College of Commerce, Science & Information Technology, Pimpri ACTION TAKEN REPORT

Feedback Analysis and Action Taken Report of the feedback 2017-18

The Feedback Analysis Committee of the institution designs and collects feedback from its stakeholders to monitor and evaluate its performance quality on curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from Students, Parents, Alumni, Teachers.

The feedback targets following different content for different stakeholders.

- For students, they have suggested activities which will develop leadership skills,& extra activities,Linking of subjects with latest developments
- For Parents, they have suggested extracurricular activities for students.
- For Teachers ,Students suggested to have Guest lectures along with syllabus for getting extra knowledge
- For alumni, they wanted more campus interviews and placement.



The feedback collected is analyzed and sent it to the respective authorities for the actions.

I : Feedback from Students:

<u>Feedback</u>	Action taken	Impact
Suggested activities which will develop leadership skills,& extra activities	Formal instructions were circulated to all Staff about providing different extra activities All the teachers were notified	- To was officially c
	for providing different extra activities	
Linking of subjects with latest developments	I In I inving of gularant	Teachers made efforts for linking subjects with latest developments



11 Feedback from Parents

Feedback	Action Taken	<u>Impact</u>
 Suggested extracurricular activities for students. extracurricular activities for students. 	Formal instructions were circulated to all Staff for providing extracurricular activities for students.	Activities for students were provided.

III Feedback for Teachers

<u>Feedback</u>	Action taken	<u>Impact</u>
 Guest lectures to be arranged 	addressing the issue.	Teachers paid more attention to have Guest lectures & conducted it
	All the teachers were notified about the issue and they were encouraged to conduct Guest lectures	

IV Feedback from Alumni

Feedback	Action taken	<u>Impact</u>
More expsosure to field visits in curriculum	Formal instructions were circulated to all staff members for addressing the issue.	Teachers paid more attention to have more field visits and thus gave exposure to students for field visits.
	All the teachers were notified about the issue and they were encouraged to have more field visits as a part of their curriculum.	

C.S. / 7. Pungy + 18000

College of Commerce, Science & Liformation Technology S. No. 2014-2A, Pune-Mumbai Highway, Pimpri, Pune - 411 018.